

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Other							
То:		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis. 1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)						
see form PCT/ISA/220								
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
International application No. PCT/JP2004/000961	International filing date (a 30.01.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.01.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or I G07F7/10	both national classification a	and IPC						
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUST	TRIAL CO., LTD.							
I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer						



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Gronau von, H-C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2276



International application No. PCT/JP2004/000961

· —								
_	Be	ox N	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1	<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>							
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material:								
			a sequence listing .					
	•		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:							
			in written format					
			in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing:								
			contained in the international application as filed.					
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	ł		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					
4	Additional comments:							



International application No. PCT/JP2004/000961

-	Во	x No. II	Priority					
1	The following document has not been furnished:							
		⊠						
= copy of the statilet application whose priorit								
			translation of the ear	lier app	olication w	hose prior	ity has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opininevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priori							alidity of the priority claim. This opinion has he relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2	. 🗆 .		pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim een found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international late indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Add	ditional o	bservations, if necess	ary:				
		,						
_								
		k No. V ustrial a	Reasoned stateme	nt und	der Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(	i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or orting such statement	
1		ement	PP. Carrier, Ottation	3 and	explanation	nis supp	orting such statement	
٠.	Otal	CITICIL						
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes:	Claims	1-16		
				No:	Claims			
	Inve	ntive ste	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-16		
				No:	Claims		•	
	Indu	strial ap	plicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-16		
			,	No:	Claims	0		
2.	Citat	ions and	explanations					
	see :	separat	e sheet			•		

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/000961

ad V.

Independent claim 1 relates to a memory card having a tamper resistant module with an internal memory and a processing unit. The processing unit is able to assign a memory area in a nonvolatile memory outside the tamper resistant module and to store access information for this memory area in the internal memory. Thus access to the memory area in the nonvolatile memory is only possible via the tamper resistant module.

Such an arrangement is not suggested by the documents of the search report. The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

The above arguments apply likewise to the other independent claims.

Claims 2-14 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.